

5 proyectos para repensar la ciencia abierta






Daniel Torres-Salinas

torressalinas@ugr.es

@torressalinas

Ciclo Publicación



Figshare

store, share, discover **research**

get more citations for all of the outputs of your academic research
over 5000 citations of figshare content to date

ALSO FOR INSTITUTIONS & PUBLISHERS

Para repensar... los datos científicos
Reutilización VS Parasitismo

A second concern held by some is that a new class of research person will emerge — people who had nothing to do with the design and execution of the study .. the system will be taken over by what some researchers have characterized as “research parasites.”

FUENTE:

<http://www.nejm.org/doi/full/10.1056/NEJMe1516564>

F1000 Research



RESEARCH ARTICLE

REVISED The unfolded protein response and its potential role in Huntington's disease elucidated by a systems biology approach [version 2; referees: 2 approved]

Ravi Kiran Reddy Kalathur¹, Joaquin Giner-Lamia¹, Susana Machado¹, Tania Barata¹, Kameshwar R S Ayasolla¹, ✉ Matthias E. Futschik^{1,2}

+ Author affiliations

+ Grant information



This article is included in the [Rare diseases](#) channel.

METRICS



VIEWES

576

DOWNLOADS

120

Get PDF

Get XML

Cite

Open Peer Review

Referee Status:

Invited Referees

Version(s)	1	2
------------	---	---

REVISED

Version 2
published
02 Mar 2016

read report



Version 1
published
01 May 2015

read report

read report

Para repensar... el peer review

Transparencia VS Revisiones ligeras

Of the 25 reviews in *F1000 Research*, 18 were under 200 words (four had zero words), and 21 (84%) were positive. The average length was 254 words. By contrast, the average length for the medical journals was 464 words, and only 42% were positive.

FUENTE:

<http://scholarlykitchen.sspnet.org/2013/03/27/how-rigorous-is-the-post-publication-review-process-at-f1000-research/>

Peer J

Overview

Indexed

Cited

Fast

Scholarly

Credit

Open

Support

Tools

PeerJ Benefits

A modern scholarly publishing experience

When you publish, review, or work as an editor in [PeerJ](#), [PeerJ Computer Science](#), or [PeerJ Preprints](#) then you've given us your trust. We hope to earn that trust over and over again by providing you with an outstanding experience and list of benefits fit for the 21st Century.

Para repensar... las revistas científicas
Nuevo modelo VS sostenibilidad

PeerJ's pricing system is different..Your \$99 buys you lifetime membership, which gives you the right to publish one paper a year with them at no further charge. (All co-authors on multi-authored papers need to be members.) Alternatively, \$299 buys an all-you-can-eat membership: publish as many papers as you want, whenever you want, for life.

SCI-HUB



SCI-HUB

...to remove all barriers in the way of science

enter URL, PMID / DOI or search string



Para repensar... el acceso abierto
Acceso Universal VS Al margen de la ley

Pirate research-paper sites play hide-and-seek with publishers Millions of scientific articles remain freely accessible despite copyright violations. Operators of Internet repositories that provide illicit free access to millions of research papers seem determined to keep up their services

FUENTE:

<http://www.nature.com/news/pirate-research-paper-sites-play-hide-and-seek-with-publishers-1.18876>


Researchgate

10.84

RG Score

A new way to measure
scientific reputation.

The RG Score takes *all* your
research and turns it into a
source of reputation.



Para repensar... la reputación científica
Nuevos indicadores VS Irreproducible

One of the most apparent issues of the RG Score is that it is in-transparent. ResearchGate does present its users with a breakdown of the individual parts of the score... The extensive use of the RG Score in marketing e-mails suggests that it was meant to be a marketing tool that drives more traffic to the site.

5 proyectos para repensar la ciencia abierta

